RESEARCH

Open Access



Ibrahima Koffi¹, Esme Marie Laure Essis^{2,3*}, Iba Bamba², Kaudjhis Rh Assi⁴, Loukou Léandre Konan² and Joseph Aka^{2,5}

Abstract

Background Despite benefits of exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) and its strategic place in the national guidelines for infant and young child feeding, its practice remains insufficient in Cote d'Ivoire. It is therefore important to identify its early stopping associated factors. We aimed to (i) assess the extent of children's exposure to exclusive breastfeeding and the associated explanatory factors for discontinuation before six months, and (ii) to profile non-exclusively breastfeed children and interrelationships between these factors.

Methods A secondary analysis of data from the 2016 Cote d'Ivoire Fifth Multi Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS5) of 980 children under six months of age was conducted in this study. Data were analyzed using the actuarial method of survival hazard estimation combined with the Wilcoxon (Gehan) test, discrete time proportional hazards regression models, and Multiple Correspondence Analysis (MCA) to profile the children.

Results Maternal exposure to counseling session, age at delivery, and child sex were significantly associated with the likelihood of discontinuing exclusive breastfeeding before the first six months of life. Children deprived of EBF resided in urban areas, in high and very high economic welfare households. Their mothers had a secondary education or higher and had three or fewer children.

Logistic analysis showed that health status and sex of the child were significantly associated (P < 0.001) with exclusive breastfeeding. An extremely important and rarely studied factor is that children who were sick in weeks prior to the survey were more likely to remain exclusive breastfeeding (adjusted OR 1.80; 95% Confidence Interval (CI) 1.452, 2.234). Girls are less likely to be exclusively breastfed than boys (adjusted OR 1.48; 95% CI 1.22, 1.798). Low standard of living was associated with early cessation of EBF (adjusted OR 2.15; CI 1.325, 3.499). The duration of the exclusive breastfeeding was significantly longer among mothers with high exposure to medical discourse (adjusted OR 0.74; CI 0.595, 0.91).

Conclusions Improving the practice of exclusive breastfeeding in Cote d'Ivoire requires strengthening the capacities of health professionals in terms of advice and assistance to mothers for the practice of exclusive breastfeeding and its maintenance until six months of age, regardless of the health status and sex of the child.

Keywords Exclusive breastfeeding, Duration, Infant, Explanatory factors, Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire

*Correspondence: Esme Marie Laure Essis essismarie2007@yahoo.fr Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

Child health remains an important issue in sub-Saharan Africa and national and international policymakers are looking for strategies to improve it [1]. Among the strategies identified to positively impact child health and reduce infant and child mortality, the promotion of breastfeeding occupies a predominant place [2, 3]. The benefit of exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) is clear at the social and economic level and can significantly reduce infant mortality [4]. If breastfeeding were to become an almost universal practice, 823,000 deaths of children under five years of age would be prevented each year and the annual number of deaths from breast cancer would be reduced by at least 20,000 cases. It would also prevent ovarian cancer, type 2 diabetes, and several childhood diseases such as diarrhea, acute respiratory infections, obesity, etc. [5, 6]. It also facilitates optimal and harmonious growth throughout the child's physical and cognitive development [7]. In recognition of the critical role of appropriate infant feeding, WHO and UNICEF recommend that infants be exclusively breastfed for the first six months of life for optimal growth, development, and health. After six months, as their nutritional needs change, infants should be fed safe and nutritionally adequate complementary foods while continuing to be breastfed for up to two years or more [3, 8].

In Cote d'Ivoire, in 2021, the exclusive breastfeeding rate which referred to the proportion of infants 0-5 months of age who are fed exclusively with breast milk was 34% according to the Demographic and Health Survey [9]. Although it has increased since 2006, it's still below the objective that the Ivorian government set in its National Strategic Plan for Mother, Newborn and Child Health, i.e., to reach a rate of 50% by 2020 [10]. This low rate makes Cote d'Ivoire one of the West African countries with the lowest EBF prevalence, and more than seven out of 10 mother-child pairs miss out on the benefits of exclusive breastfeeding. This low practice compromises achievement of the national nutrition and health development policy objectives insofar as it contributes to maintaining maternal and child morbidity and even infant and child mortality at a relatively high level.

Although work has been done on the issue of breastfeeding in Cote d'Ivoire [11, 12], none to our knowledge has looked at factors related to the initiation and duration of exclusive breastfeeding based on a nationally representative sample. Our study addresses the issue of exclusive breastfeeding from the perspective of factors influencing its duration. The results of this study may contribute to improving knowledge about exclusive breastfeeding and help policymakers implement strategies to reach the 50% target by 2025. Our main objective is to gain a better understanding of the factors influencing the duration of exclusive breastfeeding in Cote d'Ivoire, with a view to improving maternal and child health. The aim is to describe variations in the duration of exclusive breastfeeding according to certain characteristics, to draw up a profile of children deprived of exclusive breastfeeding, and to identify the factors influencing the duration of exclusive breastfeeding.

Methods

Data source

Data for this study come from the 2016 Fifth Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS-5) conducted by the National Institute of Statistics in collaboration with UNICEF and other partners. This is a cross-sectional, nationally representative survey covering 3390 children under two years of age. This analysis involved 980 children aged 0 to 5 months.

Definition of EBF and indicator used on this study

According to WHO, exclusive breastfeeding is defined as giving no other food or drink, not even water, except breast milk. It does, however, allow the infant to receive oral rehydration salts (ORS), drops and syrups (vitamins, minerals, and medicines). It includes breastfeeding by a wet nurse and feeding expressed breast milk [13].

Exclusive breastfeeding under six months is the indicator used. This indicator referred to the proportion of infants 0–5 months of age who are fed exclusively with breast milk. It is a current status indicators based on recall of the previous day (24 h) before a questionnaire was administered to mothers and involved only living children [13].

Explanatory variables

The independent variables of our study were selected based on a review of the literature and elements of the Ivorian social context. The different concepts and operational variables of the study are summarized in Table 1.

Some independent variables were constructed by combining two or three variables. These are the following variables:

Exposure to medical discourse on breastfeeding

This was constructed from a combination of variables such as the prenatal consultation, the number of consultations and the quality of the person who attended the birth. We assigned the following modalities: (1) *Non-exposed*: those who had never attended a prenatal consultation and who had not been assisted by a qualified person during their delivery; (2) *Highly exposed*: those who had attended more than three prenatal consultations

Table 1 Study concepts and operati	onal variables
------------------------------------	----------------

Concepts		Operational variables
Contextual characteristics	Residence context	Region of residence
		Place of residence
	Sociocultural context	Religion
		Ethnicity
	Socioeconomic characteristics of the household	Household standard of living
		Cohabitation of the partners
Individual characteristics of mother	Economic characteristics	Occupation
	Biodemographic characteristics	Age at delivery
		Parity
	Sociocultural characteristics	Education level
		Media exposure
Individual characteristics of the child		Sex
		Health status
		Desirability (importance of the child to the mother)

and had been assisted by a qualified person during their delivery; and (3) *Lowly exposed*: those who fell between these two modalities.

Exposure to the media

This was constructed from two variables: frequency of radio listening and frequency of television viewing. The variable 'frequency of reading a newspaper or magazine' was not used because it had a non-response rate of over 10%. Three modalities were defined: (1) *Not exposed*: concerns women who do not follow radio and television at all; (2) *Moderately*: those who often follow one or both media and (3) *Higher*: those who are exposed to both media every day.

The dependent variable of the study was the duration of the exclusive breastfeeding, that was conceptualized as described below (Fig. 1).

Conceptualization of the event studied

The conceptualization consists in defining the event under study, the population at risk, as well as the competing events that may cause the individual to leave the observation, with or without a change in state [14].

- Event under study: discontinuation of EBF before six months.
- Population at risk: children under six months of age at the time of the survey.
- **Start date of observation**: children's date of birth.
- End date of observation: date on which the child ate or drank anything other than breast milk. The duration of observation is conditioned by two types

of discharge: discharge from observation without change of state and discharge with change of state.

- Exit without change of condition (right censoring): child exclusively breastfed at the date of the survey. The child's end of observation date will be the date of the survey. It is then considered right censored.
- Exit by change of status: child having eaten or drunk food other than breast milk which interrupts the exclusive breastfeeding before the survey date.

These two observations exit (event occurrence and survey date) are the only ones considered in this study.

- Scope of the study: the entire Ivorian territory covered by the MICS 2016 survey.
- Duration of observation: This is the time that elapses from the date of birth to the date of cessation of exclusive breastfeeding and from the date of birth to the date of the survey. This corresponds, for the first type, to the age of the child at the time EBF was stopped (date of consumption of a food other than breast milk - date of birth) and, for the second, to the age of the child at the time of the survey (date of the survey - date of birth). (Fig. 1).

Unit of time: the month.

Methods of analysis

Discrete time Survival Analysis were used. At the descriptive level, the actuarial method of estimating the survival hazard associated with the Wilcoxon (Gehan) test at the 5% threshold and, at the explanatory level, discrete-time



Fig. 1 Illustration of the different types of outputs or truncations

logistic regression. A Multiple Correspondence Analysis (MCA) was used to profile children according to whether they were exclusively breastfed or not at the time of the survey.

At the explanatory level, several stepwise discrete time proportional hazards regression models were constructed to highlight the interrelationships between the different variables entered in the regression according to the analysis scheme. The odds ratios for the propensity to stop exclusive breastfeeding are presented in Table 2. The different models selected are as follows:

Model 0 (M0): Child age group (control variable).

Model 1 (M1): Mother's region of residence.

Model 2 (M2): M1 + Mother's residence area.

Model 3 (M3): M2 + Mother's ethnicity.

Model 4 (M4): M3 + Mother's Religion.

Model 5 (M5): M4+Household standard of living.

Model 6 (M6): M5 + Spousal Cohabitation.

Model 7 (M7): M6 + Mother's education level.

Model 8 (M8): M7 + Mother's age at delivery.

Model 9 (M9): M8 + Parity achieved.

Model 10 (M10): M9+Exposure to medical discourse on breastfeeding.

Model 11 (M11): M10 + Mother's exposure to media.

Model 12 (M12): M11 + Child's sex.

Model 13 (M13): M12 + Child's health status.

Model 14 (M14): M13 + Child's Desirability.

The M0 model contains the age of the children introduced as the control variable. The last model (M14) is the saturated model that identifies the net weight of each explanatory variable in the presence of all other variables. The intermediate models (M1 to M13) allow us to detect the interrelations between the different variables. The "categorical" option of the SPSS 25 software was used in the discrete-time logistic regression to simultaneously give the significance of the variables and the modalities.

In order to identify the explanatory variables that contribute most statistically to the model, a hierarchical ranking of the variables was done using the Chi-square of the saturated or final model (X_f^2) . This approach is justified by our desire to prioritize the most relevant interventions to be implemented in our context of budgetary constraints. It consists of ordering the independent variables according to the percentage of Chi-square that they contribute to the explanation of the dependent variable.

Let C_i be the contribution of the independent variable i to the explanation of the phenomenon studied, X_f^2 the Chi-square of the final model and X_{f-i}^2 the Chi-square without the independent variable i. The contribution of an independent variable i is given by the following formula:

$$C_i = \frac{X_f^2 - X_{f-i}^2}{X_f^2}$$

Results

The national exclusive breastfeeding rate was 23.5% according to the 5th MICS (2016) in Cote d'Ivoire (15). The descriptive analysis involved 980 children under six months of age. The results indicate that maternal age at delivery, exposure to medical discourse on breastfeeding (a composite variable combining the number of antenatal cares and assistance at delivery by skilled personnel), and

	Ō
_	\subseteq
	0
L	ĕ
	S
	g
	ž
	¥
•	SI
-	1
	×
	Ψ
	ဠ
•	Ē
	ã
	0
	S
	đ
-	×
•	ŝ
	۵.
-	Ē
	Ę
	ō
	S
-	Ξ
-	a
•	Ĩ
	Š
	Ę
	d)
-	ð
	Ē
	ă
_	Ū
	2
÷	1
	ō
	S
	Ú.
Ľ	Ψ
	Ð
	Ч
	Ē
-	σ
	Ē
	.0
	ປາ
-	ge
-	rude
-	crude
-	Is: crude
-	dels: crude
-	odels: crude
-	nodels: crude
-	n models: crude
-	ion models: crude
	ssion models: crude
-	ession models: crude
-	gression models: crude
-	regression models: crude
-	c regression models: crude
	stic regression models: crude
	gistic regression models: crude
	logistic regression models: crude
	e logistic regression models: crude
	me logistic regression models: crude
	time logistic regression models: crude
	e-time logistic regression models: crude
	ete-time logistic regression models: crude
	crete-time logistic regression models: crude
	tiscrete-time logistic regression models: crude
	t discrete-time logistic regression models: crude
- - - - -	of discrete-time logistic regression models: crude
	s) of discrete-time logistic regression models: crude
	ios) of discrete-time logistic regression models: crude
	atios) of discrete-time logistic regression models: crude
	s ratios) of discrete-time logistic regression models: crude
	ds ratios) of discrete-time logistic regression models: crude
	odds ratios) of discrete-time logistic regression models: crude
	(Udds ratios) of discrete-time logistic regression models: crude
	ts (Udds ratios) of discrete-time logistic regression models: crude
	ults (Odds ratios) of discrete-time logistic regression models: crude
	esults (Odds ratios) of discrete-time logistic regression models: crude
	Kesults (Udds ratios) of discrete-time logistic regression models: crude
	2 Results (Odds ratios) of discrete-time logistic regression models: crude
	2 Results (Udds ratios) of discrete-time logistic regression models: crude
	IIE 2 Kesults (Odds ratios) of discrete-time logistic regression models: crude
	able 2 Results (Odds ratios) of discrete-time logistic regression models: crude

	ואבר בווברו	N												
	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8	6W	M10	M11	M12	M13	M14
*	***	***	* **	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
0.753 ^{ns}	0.503**	0.497**	0.478***	0.483***	0.492**	0.479***	0.485**	0.476***	0.477***	0.491**	0.508**	0.544**	0.544**	0.544**
0.837 ^{ns}	0.625***	0.620***	0.602***	0.628***	0.625***	0.625***	0.622***	0.603***	0.603***	0.638***	0.627***	0.634***	0.647***	0.647***
0.926 ^{ns}	0.854 ^{ns}	0.881 ^{ns}	0.800 ^{ns}	0.800 ^{ns}	0.738 ^{ns}	0.724*	0.728*	0.733 ^{ns}	0.736 ^{ns}	0.808 ^{ns}	0.812 ^{ns}	0.845 ^{ns}	0.787 ^{ns}	0.787 ^{ns}
, -	. —	-	-	-	-	-	-	.	-	-	-	, -	-	-
1.025 ^{ns}	0.950 ^{ns}	0.931 ^{ns}	0.866 ^{ns}	0.860 ^{ns}	0.884 ^{ns}	0.883 ^{ns}	0.892 ^{ns}	0.877 ^{ns}	0.880 ^{ns}	0.935 ^{ns}	0.935 ^{ns}	0.962 ^{ns}	0.931 ^{ns}	0.931 ^{ns}
1.230 ^{ns}	1.122 ^{ns}	1.354 ^{ns}	1.264 ^{ns}	1.279 ^{ns}	1.636**	1.643**	1.617**	1.594**	1.593**	1.796**	1.875***	2.078***	2.002***	2.000***
ns		**	**	**	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	ns
1.108 ^{ns}		0.774**	0.785**	0.743 **	0.803 ^{ns}	0.801 ^{ns}	0.790 ^{ns}	0.787 ^{ns}	0.787 ^{ns}	0.783 ^{ns}	0.794 ^{ns}	0.770*	0.778 ^{ns}	0.778 ^{ns}
,		-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	–	-	-	-	–
ns			ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	*
1.119 ^{ns}			1.225 ^{ns}	1.419**	1.497**	1.418**	1.439**	1,49**	1,493**	1,487**	1,470**	1,498**	1,561**	1,560**
1.105 ^{ns}			1.065 ^{ns}	1.23 ^{ns}	1.413 ^{ns}	1397 ^{ns}	1.494 ^{ns}	1,561*	1,572*	1,614*	1,614*	1,657*	1,658*	1,657*
1.030 ^{ns}			1.043 ^{ns}	1.08ns	1.140 ^{ns}	1.123 ^{ns}	1.130 ^{ns}	1.153 ^{ns}	1,154 ^{ns}	1,197 ^{ns}	1,210 ^{ns}	1,229 ^{ns}	1,292 ^{ns}	1,291 ^{ns}
0.981 ^{ns}			1.002 ^{ns}	1.068 ^{ns}	1.089 ^{ns}	1.083 ^{ns}	1.069 ^{ns}	1.068 ^{ns}	1.066 ^{ns}	1.053 ^{ns}	1.061 ^{ns}	1.082 ^{ns}	1.067 ^{ns}	1.067 ^{ns}
-			-	-	,	-	-	-	-	-	, -	, -	, -	-
ns				ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Ref				-	<i>—</i>	-	-	-	-	-	,	-	<i>—</i>	-
0.904 ^{ns}				0.824 ^{ns}	0.826 ^{ns}	0.819 ^{ns}	0.815 ^{ns}	0.832 ^{ns}	0.829 ^{ns}	0.849 ^{ns}	0.865 ^{ns}	0.813 ^{ns}	0.836 ^{ns}	.836 ^{ns}
1.028 ^{ns}				0.85 ^{ns}	0.848 ^{ns}	0.857 ^{ns}	0.841 ^{ns}	0.854 ^{ns}	0.851 ^{ns}	0.844 ^{ns}	0.874 ^{ns}	0.848 ^{ns}	0.915 ^{ns}	.914 ^{ns}
0.879 ^{ns}				0.742*	0.755 ^{ns}	0.756 ^{ns}	0.745*	0.739*	0.739*	0.705*	0.725*	0.726*	0.711*	0.711*
ns					***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
0.985 ^{ns}					1.754**	1.799**	1.876***	1.844**	1.839**	1.583*	1.550*	1.473ns	1.587*	1.587*
1.164 ^{ns}					2.197***	2.215***	2.297***	2.246***	2.246***	2.063***	1.991***	1.948***	2.154***	2.153***
1.089 ^{ns}					1.808***	1.836***	1.873***	1.866***	1.866***	1.765**	1.731**	1.753**	1.849***	1.848***
1.365**					2.317***	2.382***	2.458***	2.488***	2.490***	2.312***	2.299***	2.248***	2.536***	2.534***
-					, -	1	-	1	-	-	, -	-	-	-
ns						ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
0.872 ^{ns}						0.845 ^{ns}	0.830 ^{ns}	0.911 ^{ns}	0.912 ^{ns}	0.897 ^{ns}	0.944 ^{ns}	0.946 ^{ns}	0.893 ^{ns}	0.894 ^{ns}
-						1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ns							ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	**	**
0.914 ^{ns}							0.835 ^{ns}	0.874 ^{ns}	0.878 ^{ns}	0.838 ^{ns}	0.808 ^{ns}	0.802 ^{ns}	0.725*	0.725*
0.839 ^{ns}							0.695 ^{ns}	0.726*	0.728*	0.716*	0.687**	0.690**	0.640**	0.639**
							-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	* 0.753 ^{ns} 0.837 ^{ns} 0.926 ^{ns} 0.837 ^{ns} 0.926 ^{ns} 1.1.025 ^{ns} 1.230 ^{ns} 1.230 ^{ns} 1.230 ^{ns} 1.230 ^{ns} 1.1.08 ^{ns} 1.1.08 ^{ns} 1.1.05 ^{ns} 0.904 ^{ns} 1.1.028 ^{ns} 0.904 ^{ns} 1.1.028 ^{ns} 0.904 ^{ns} 1.1.08 ^{9^{ns}} 1.1.08 ^{9^{ns}} 1.1.08 ^{ns} 1.1.08 ^{ns} 1	M1 ************************************	MI M2 * *** *** 0.753 ⁿ⁵ 0.503** 0.497** 0.337 ⁿ⁵ 0.503** 0.620*** 0.926 ⁿ⁵ 0.625*** 0.620*** 1 1 1 1 1 0.926 ⁿ⁵ 0.881 ⁿ⁵ 0.931 ⁿ⁵ 1 0.926 ⁿ⁵ 0.931 ⁿ⁵ 0.774** 1 1 1 1 1.028 ⁿ⁵ 0.950 ⁿ⁵ 0.931 ⁿ⁵ 1.106 ⁿ⁵ 1.122 ⁿ⁵ 1.354 ⁿ⁵ 1.105 ⁿ⁵ 1.122 ⁿ⁵ 1.354 ⁿ⁵ 1.105 ⁿ⁵ 1.122 ⁿ⁵ 1.1 1.030 ⁿ⁵ 0.904 ⁿ⁵ 0.774** 1.05 ⁿ⁵ 1.122 ⁿ⁵ 1.1 1.05 ⁿ⁵ 1.122 ⁿ⁵ 1.1 1.05 ⁿ⁵ 1.122 ⁿ⁵ 1.1 1.05 ⁿ⁵ 1.12 ⁿ⁵ 1.1 1.105 ⁿ⁵ <t< td=""><td>M1 M2 M3 **** *** *** *** 0.7531* 0.503** 0.497** 0.478*** 0.3537** 0.503*** 0.497*** 0.478*** 0.3537** 0.503*** 0.503*** 0.407*** 0.502**** 0.9261** 0.861** 0.881** 0.600*** 0.600*** 1 1 1 1 1 1 1.0257** 0.854** 0.801** 0.800** 0.800*** 1.108** 0.956** 0.931** 0.7744** 0.786*** 1.108** 1.112** 1.102** 1.102** 1.102** 1.030** 0.931** 0.7744** 0.786** 1.105** 1.102** 1.102** 1.264** 1.030** 0.931** 1.100** 1.100** 1.105** 1.105** 1.100** 1.264** 1.030** 0.931** 1.100** 1.100** 1.105** 1.105** 1.100** 1.100** 1.030**</td><td>M1 M2 M3 M4 * *** *** *** *** 0.7531* 0.503** 0.497** 0.437** 0.433*** 0.837** 0.503** 0.497** 0.433*** 0.433*** 0.837** 0.503** 0.600*** 0.638*** 0.433*** 0.936** 0.6503** 0.630*** 0.600*** 0.638*** 1.1230** 0.834** 0.630*** 0.600*** 0.600*** 1.230** 0.956*** 0.931** 0.774** 0.704** 1.120** 1.110** 1.110** 1.230** 0.743** 1.108** 0.774** 0.744** 1.236* 1.108** 1.100** 1.200** 0.743** 1.1005** 1.10** 1.100 1.236* 1.1005** 1.1000** 1.200 1.236* 1.1005** 1.1000** 1.200 1.200 1.1005** 1.1000 1.200 1.200 1.1005** 1.1000 1.200 1.2</td><td>M1 M2 M3 M4 M5 *** *** *** *** *** 0.7531* 0.503** 0.497** 0.492*** 0.492*** 0.8371* 0.503** 0.503*** 0.502*** 0.502*** 0.502*** 0.8371* 0.503** 0.503*** 0.602*** 0.602*** 0.602*** 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1.0251* 0.5050** 0.503*** 0.602*** 0.602*** 0.602*** 0.10251* 0.525*** 0.520*** 0.602*** 0.602*** 0.602*** 1.1220* 1.122** 0.381** 0.880** 0.803** 0.803** 1.105** 1.110** 1 1 1 1 1.105** 1.110** 1 1 1 1.105** 1.100** 1.100** 1.100** 1.100** 1.105** 1.100** 1.100** 1.100** 1.100** 1.105** 1.100** 1.100**<!--</td--><td>MI M3 M4 M5 M6 M6 **** **** **** **** **** **** 0.7553¹⁵ 0.500^{1**} 0.497^{***} 0.497^{***} 0.497^{***} 0.497^{***} 0.833¹⁵ 0.650^{1***} 0.660^{1***} 0.660^{1***} 0.652^{***} 0.652^{***} 0.926^{1*} 0.831^{1*} 0.80^{1*} 0.80^{1*} 0.83^{1*} 0.652^{***} 1.025^{1*} 0.951^{1*} 0.80^{1*} 0.80^{1*} 0.82^{1*} 0.82^{1*} 1.025^{1*} 1.1.12^{1*} 1.1.12^{1*} 1.1.12^{1*} 1.1.12^{1*} 1.106^{1*} 1.1.10^{1*} 1.1.11¹ 1.1.10^{1*} 1.1.13^{1*} 1.106^{1*} 1.1.10^{1*} 1.1.11¹ 1.1.11^{1*} 1.1.11¹ 1.106^{1*} 1.1.11¹ 1.1.11¹ 1.1.11¹ 1.1.11¹ 1.106^{1*} 1.1.11¹ 1.1.11¹ 1.1.11¹ 1.1.11¹ 1.106^{1*} 1.1.11¹ 1.1.11¹ 1.1.11¹ 1.1.11¹ 1.106^{1*} 1.1.1.11¹ 1.1.11¹</td></td></t<> <td>M1 M2 M3 M4 M5 M6 M7 0.735¹⁶ 0.53¹⁴ 0.43¹⁴ 0.43¹⁴ 0.43¹⁴ 0.43¹⁴ 0.43¹⁴ 0.735¹⁶ 0.53¹⁴ 0.43¹⁴ 0.43¹⁴ 0.43¹⁴ 0.43¹⁴ 0.43¹⁴ 0.337¹⁶ 0.53¹⁴ 0.60¹⁴ 0.60²⁴ 0.62²⁴⁴ 0.62²⁴⁴ 0.62²⁴⁴ 0.43¹⁴ 0.235¹⁴ 0.56¹⁴ 0.80¹⁶ 0.80¹⁶ 0.83¹⁶ 0.73¹⁴ 0.73¹⁴ 0.73¹⁴ 0.235¹⁴ 0.35¹⁴ 0.80¹⁶ 0.80¹⁶ 0.83¹⁶ 0.73¹⁴ 0.73¹⁴ 1.12¹⁷ 1.12¹⁴ 1.1 1 1 1 1 1 1.10¹⁵ 1.12¹⁴ 1.35¹⁴ 1.26¹⁴ 1.23¹⁶ 1.43¹⁴ 1.43¹⁴ 1.10¹⁵ 1.11¹¹ 1 1 1 1 1 1.10¹⁶ 1.11¹⁴ 1 1 1 1 1 1.11¹¹ 1 1 1</td> <td>MJ M3 M3 M3 M3 M4 M3 M3<</td> <td>MI M3 M4 M5 M6 M7 M8 M9 </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	M1 M2 M3 **** *** *** *** 0.7531* 0.503** 0.497** 0.478*** 0.3537** 0.503*** 0.497*** 0.478*** 0.3537** 0.503*** 0.503*** 0.407*** 0.502**** 0.9261** 0.861** 0.881** 0.600*** 0.600*** 1 1 1 1 1 1 1.0257** 0.854** 0.801** 0.800** 0.800*** 1.108** 0.956** 0.931** 0.7744** 0.786*** 1.108** 1.112** 1.102** 1.102** 1.102** 1.030** 0.931** 0.7744** 0.786** 1.105** 1.102** 1.102** 1.264** 1.030** 0.931** 1.100** 1.100** 1.105** 1.105** 1.100** 1.264** 1.030** 0.931** 1.100** 1.100** 1.105** 1.105** 1.100** 1.100** 1.030**	M1 M2 M3 M4 * *** *** *** *** 0.7531* 0.503** 0.497** 0.437** 0.433*** 0.837** 0.503** 0.497** 0.433*** 0.433*** 0.837** 0.503** 0.600*** 0.638*** 0.433*** 0.936** 0.6503** 0.630*** 0.600*** 0.638*** 1.1230** 0.834** 0.630*** 0.600*** 0.600*** 1.230** 0.956*** 0.931** 0.774** 0.704** 1.120** 1.110** 1.110** 1.230** 0.743** 1.108** 0.774** 0.744** 1.236* 1.108** 1.100** 1.200** 0.743** 1.1005** 1.10** 1.100 1.236* 1.1005** 1.1000** 1.200 1.236* 1.1005** 1.1000** 1.200 1.200 1.1005** 1.1000 1.200 1.200 1.1005** 1.1000 1.200 1.2	M1 M2 M3 M4 M5 *** *** *** *** *** 0.7531* 0.503** 0.497** 0.492*** 0.492*** 0.8371* 0.503** 0.503*** 0.502*** 0.502*** 0.502*** 0.8371* 0.503** 0.503*** 0.602*** 0.602*** 0.602*** 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1.0251* 0.5050** 0.503*** 0.602*** 0.602*** 0.602*** 0.10251* 0.525*** 0.520*** 0.602*** 0.602*** 0.602*** 1.1220* 1.122** 0.381** 0.880** 0.803** 0.803** 1.105** 1.110** 1 1 1 1 1.105** 1.110** 1 1 1 1.105** 1.100** 1.100** 1.100** 1.100** 1.105** 1.100** 1.100** 1.100** 1.100** 1.105** 1.100** 1.100** </td <td>MI M3 M4 M5 M6 M6 **** **** **** **** **** **** 0.7553¹⁵ 0.500^{1**} 0.497^{***} 0.497^{***} 0.497^{***} 0.497^{***} 0.833¹⁵ 0.650^{1***} 0.660^{1***} 0.660^{1***} 0.652^{***} 0.652^{***} 0.926^{1*} 0.831^{1*} 0.80^{1*} 0.80^{1*} 0.83^{1*} 0.652^{***} 1.025^{1*} 0.951^{1*} 0.80^{1*} 0.80^{1*} 0.82^{1*} 0.82^{1*} 1.025^{1*} 1.1.12^{1*} 1.1.12^{1*} 1.1.12^{1*} 1.1.12^{1*} 1.106^{1*} 1.1.10^{1*} 1.1.11¹ 1.1.10^{1*} 1.1.13^{1*} 1.106^{1*} 1.1.10^{1*} 1.1.11¹ 1.1.11^{1*} 1.1.11¹ 1.106^{1*} 1.1.11¹ 1.1.11¹ 1.1.11¹ 1.1.11¹ 1.106^{1*} 1.1.11¹ 1.1.11¹ 1.1.11¹ 1.1.11¹ 1.106^{1*} 1.1.11¹ 1.1.11¹ 1.1.11¹ 1.1.11¹ 1.106^{1*} 1.1.1.11¹ 1.1.11¹</td>	MI M3 M4 M5 M6 M6 **** **** **** **** **** **** 0.7553 ¹⁵ 0.500 ^{1**} 0.497 ^{***} 0.497 ^{***} 0.497 ^{***} 0.497 ^{***} 0.833 ¹⁵ 0.650 ^{1***} 0.660 ^{1***} 0.660 ^{1***} 0.652 ^{***} 0.652 ^{***} 0.926 ^{1*} 0.831 ^{1*} 0.80 ^{1*} 0.80 ^{1*} 0.83 ^{1*} 0.652 ^{***} 1.025 ^{1*} 0.951 ^{1*} 0.80 ^{1*} 0.80 ^{1*} 0.82 ^{1*} 0.82 ^{1*} 1.025 ^{1*} 1.1.12 ^{1*} 1.1.12 ^{1*} 1.1.12 ^{1*} 1.1.12 ^{1*} 1.106 ^{1*} 1.1.10 ^{1*} 1.1.11 ¹ 1.1.10 ^{1*} 1.1.13 ^{1*} 1.106 ^{1*} 1.1.10 ^{1*} 1.1.11 ¹ 1.1.11 ^{1*} 1.1.11 ¹ 1.106 ^{1*} 1.1.11 ¹ 1.1.11 ¹ 1.1.11 ¹ 1.1.11 ¹ 1.106 ^{1*} 1.1.11 ¹ 1.1.11 ¹ 1.1.11 ¹ 1.1.11 ¹ 1.106 ^{1*} 1.1.11 ¹ 1.1.11 ¹ 1.1.11 ¹ 1.1.11 ¹ 1.106 ^{1*} 1.1.1.11 ¹ 1.1.11 ¹	M1 M2 M3 M4 M5 M6 M7 0.735 ¹⁶ 0.53 ¹⁴ 0.43 ¹⁴ 0.43 ¹⁴ 0.43 ¹⁴ 0.43 ¹⁴ 0.43 ¹⁴ 0.735 ¹⁶ 0.53 ¹⁴ 0.43 ¹⁴ 0.43 ¹⁴ 0.43 ¹⁴ 0.43 ¹⁴ 0.43 ¹⁴ 0.337 ¹⁶ 0.53 ¹⁴ 0.60 ¹⁴ 0.60 ²⁴ 0.62 ²⁴⁴ 0.62 ²⁴⁴ 0.62 ²⁴⁴ 0.43 ¹⁴ 0.235 ¹⁴ 0.56 ¹⁴ 0.80 ¹⁶ 0.80 ¹⁶ 0.83 ¹⁶ 0.73 ¹⁴ 0.73 ¹⁴ 0.73 ¹⁴ 0.235 ¹⁴ 0.35 ¹⁴ 0.80 ¹⁶ 0.80 ¹⁶ 0.83 ¹⁶ 0.73 ¹⁴ 0.73 ¹⁴ 1.12 ¹⁷ 1.12 ¹⁴ 1.1 1 1 1 1 1 1.10 ¹⁵ 1.12 ¹⁴ 1.35 ¹⁴ 1.26 ¹⁴ 1.23 ¹⁶ 1.43 ¹⁴ 1.43 ¹⁴ 1.10 ¹⁵ 1.11 ¹¹ 1 1 1 1 1 1.10 ¹⁶ 1.11 ¹⁴ 1 1 1 1 1 1.11 ¹¹ 1 1 1	MJ M3 M3 M3 M3 M4 M3 M3<	MI M3 M4 M5 M6 M7 M8 M9				

Variables and modalities	Crude effects	Net effec	ts												
		M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8	M9	M10	M11	M12	M13	M14
Age at delivery	ns								**	ns	ns	*	*	*	*
Less than 20 years old	L								-	-	-	, -	-	, -	-
20 - 34 years old	0.863 ^{ns}								0.843 ^{ns}	0.849 ^{ns}	0.850 ^{ns}	0.841 ^{ns}	0.820 ^{ns}	0.797 ^{ns}	0.798 ^{ns}
35 years and older	0.779*								0.620**	0.621**	0.623**	0.597**	0.576**	0.596**	0.597**
Parity	ns									ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Low	-									, -	-	, -	-	, -	. —
Medium	0.958 ^{ns}									0.965 ^{ns}	0.961 ^{ns}	0.971 ^{ns}	1.003 ^{ns}	0.981 ^{ns}	0.980 ^{ns}
High	0.983 ^{ns}									1.005 ^{ns}	1.002 ^{ns}	1.005 ^{ns}	1.009 ^{ns}	1.001 ^{ns}	1.000 ^{ns}
Exposure to medical discourse	ns										***	***	***	***	***
Not exposed	1.114 ^{ns}										1.368 ^{ns}	1.354 ^{ns}	1.372 ^{ns}	1.364 ^{ns}	1.364 ^{ns}
Weakly	-										-	<i>—</i>	-	<i>—</i>	<i>—</i>
Strongly	0.895 ^{ns}										0.734***	0.740***	0.742***	0.736***	0.736***
Exposure to the media	ns											ns	ns	*	*
Not exposed	0.999 ^{ns}											1.225 ^{ns}	1.214 ^{ns}	1.211 ^{ns}	1.210 ^{ns}
Moderately	1.108 ^{ns}											1.374**	1.372**	1.394**	1.393**
More exposed	-											. 	-	. 	-
Child's sex	**												***	***	***
Male	Ļ												-	-	-
Female	1.179**												1.451***	1.483***	1.482***
Child's health	**													***	***
Sick	L													, -	-
Not ill	1.179**													1.800***	1.801***
Desirability of the child	ns														ns
Desired	0.934 ^{ns}														0.994 ^{ns}
Not desired															-
Chi2		629.774	634.479	637.318	640.782	661.850	663.284	667.816	675.322	675.413	687.308	691.892	706.557	736.969	736.972
Pr>Chi2		***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
NB: Each regression model include	d 980 children und	er 6 month:	s of age												

Explanatory note: *** = Significant at the 1% level; **= Significant at the 5% level; *= Significant at the 10% level; ns = Not significant;

Table 2 (continued)



Fig. 2 Prevalence of EBF 0–6 months by age of mother at delivery

the child's sex were significantly associated with the duration of exclusive breastfeeding. Regarding the mother's age at delivery, after one month of life, the EBF prevalence curves differ significantly from each other until the end of the 6th month (Fig. 2). Moreover, the differences increased over time. The curve representing mothers aged 35 years or more remains above the others throughout the observation period and that of mothers under 20 years of age remains below them (except for the 6th month). This means that children born to older mothers experienced cessation of exclusive breastfeeding later than children born to younger mothers.

Regarding exposure to counseling on breastfeeding or the number of antenatal cares and the qualification of the person who assisted the woman at delivery, we note that the proportion of exclusively breastfed children remains higher among children whose mothers have achieved more than three antenatal cares and had benefit from a skilled attendant at delivery (Fig. 3).

Otherwise, the proportion of children who were fed other foods remained lowest among mothers with high exposure to counseling session on breastfeeding. Thus, children whose mothers had achieved more than three antenatal cares and were assisted by a skilled attendant at delivery were experienced cessation of exclusive breastfeeding less quickly over the 6-month period than other children.

Virtually over the entire duration of exposure to exclusive breastfeeding cessation, the prevalence curve for male children is above that for female children. This means that male children are less at risk of stopping EBF than female children (Fig. 4).

The Multiple Correspondence Analysis (MCA) was used to profile the children. Factor analysis seeks to reduce a large amount of information (in the form of values on variables) to a few large dimensions (factors). It attempts to decompose the patterns of correlations to explain them by a limited number of factors. Regarding the profile of children (Fig. 5), the MCA identified two groups of children. The target group was composed exclusively of non-exclusively breastfed children. They lived in Abidjan and in urban areas in general. They live in households with high economic well-being. Their mothers had a secondary education or higher and were highly exposed to the media. They had achieved more than three antenatal cares and had been assisted by a skilled attendant at delivery and they have no more than three children.

The second group consists of exclusively breastfed children whose mothers lived in the northern region and in



Fig. 3 Prevalence of EBF 0–6 by exposure to medical discourse on breastfeeding





Contribution of the modalities to the classification of children by factor 2

Fig. 5 Profile of children aged 0-6 months by EBF status

rural areas in general. These women are largely of the Gur ethnic group and from neighboring countries. They belonged to households with low economic well-being with more than four children. They were uneducated, with little or no exposure to breastfeeding counseling and usually live with their partners.

The results of the discrete-time logistic models are presented in Tables 2 and 4. The values provided by these tables are odds ratios but the Table 4 present crude OR, adjusted OR and the 95% CI. The regression models consider 980 children under six months. Child age was a control variable for each model. The Table 3 highlights the explanatory factors of the EBF discontinuation, ranked in decreasing order of their explanatory power: region of residence, child's health status, household standard of living, child's sex, mother's exposure to breastfeeding counseling and mother's level of education (Tables 3 and 4).

Region of residence

Compared to children in the North, children in the East and West regions were 0.54 (95% CI 0.31, 0.97 and 0.65 (95% CI 0.47, 0.89) times less likely to experienced cessation of EBF before six months, respectively. In contrast, children in Abidjan were about two times more likely to be deprived of exclusive breastfeeding than children in the North. The difference in risk of discontinuing EBF was not significant between children in the North and those in the Central and Southern regions without Abidjan. Thus, exclusive breastfeeding is practiced over a relatively long period of time in the East and West regions than in the Center, North and South regions (with Abidjan).

In models M1 to M14, region of residence remained significant, whereas it was not significant in the crude effects model. The coefficients associated with its modalities changed with the introduction of variables such as religion, household standard of living, cohabitation of spouses, level

Table 3 Hierarchy of explanatory factors for EBF discontinuation in decreasing order of contribution

Explanatory factors	Chi2	Contribution	
	Loss of chi-square value if this variable is removed from the model	Absolute (%)	Relative (%)
Region of residence	736.97, 676.36	8.22	20.33
Child's health status	736.97, 679.95	7.74	19.13
Household standard of living	736.97, 680.14	7.71	19.06
Child's sex	736.97, 691.17	6.21	15.36
Exposure to medical discourse	736.97, 696.9	5.44	13.44
Mother's education level	736.97, 699.18	5.13	12.68

Table 4 Determinants of duration of exclusive breastfeeding

Variables	Crude OR (95% CI)	P> z	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P> z
Region of residence	*		***	
East	0.753 (0.481, 1.181)	0.217	0.544 (0.309, 0.956)	0.034
West	0.837 (0.655, 1.069)	0.154	0.647 (0.469, 0.894)	0.008
Central	0.926 (0.689, 1.245)	0.611	0.787 (0.536, 1.156)	0.222
North	1		1	1
South without Abidjan	1.025 (0.799, 1.315)	0.845	0.931 (0.659, 1.315)	0.686
City of Abidjan	1.230 (0.93, 1.628)	0.148	2 (1.245, 3.214)	0.004
Place of residence	ns		ns	
Urban	1.108 (0.938, 1.308)	0.229	0.778 (0.570, 1.061)	0.113
Rural	1		1	1
Mother's ethnicity	ns		*	
Akan	1.119 (0.901, 1.39)	0.309	1.560 (1.093, 2.227)	0.014
Krou	1.105 (0.77, 1.584)	0.588	1.657 (0.986, 2.785)	0.057
Mande	1 03 (0.818, 1.297)		1 291 (0.95, 1.757)	0.104
Gur	0.981 (0.771, 1.249)	0.878	1.067 (0.776, 1.467)	0.692
Foreign/ Other	1	0.070	1	1
Beligion	ns.		, nc	I
Muclim	1		1	1
Catholic	0.004 (0.600, 1.160)	0.442	· 0.836 (0.585, 1.106)	0327
Other Christians	1.029 (0.941, 1.257)	0.442	0.014 (0.652, 1.292)	0.527
	0.020 (0.679, 1.120)	0.787	0.914 (0.032, 1.282)	0.002
Animist/Other	0.879 (0.078, 1.159)	0.528	0.711 (0.496, 1.016)	0.001
Household standard of living	ns	0.012		0.070
very low	0.985 (0.75, 1.293)	0.913	1.587 (0.949, 2.654)	0.078
Low	1.164 (0.882, 1.536)	0.283	2.153 (1.325, 3.499)	0.002
Medium	1.089 (0.819, 1.447)	0.558	1.848 (1.189, 2.873)	0.006
High	1.365 (1.005, 1.853)	0.047	2.534 (1.69, 3.8)	0.000
Very high	1	1	1	1
Cohabitation of spouses	ns		ns	
With spouse	0.872 (0.701, 1.085)	0.220	0.894 (0.66, 1.209)	0.466
Alone	1	1	1	1
Level of education	ns		**	
None	0.914 (0.721, 1.16)	0.46	0.725 (0.516, 1.018)	0.063
Primary	0.839 (0.631, 1.116)	0.228	0.639 (0.447, 0.914)	0.014
Secondary and above	1	1	1	1
Age at delivery	ns		*	
Less than 20 years old	1	1	1	1
20 - 34 years old	0.863 (0.68, 1.096)	0.226	0.798 (0.587, 1.085)	0.150
35 years and older	0.779 (0.579, 1.047	0.098	0.597 (0.375, 0.95)	0.030
Parity	ns		ns	
Low	1	1	1	1
Medium	0.958 (0.78, 1.177)	0.683	0.980 (0.751, 1.279)	0.884
High	0.983 (0.793, 1.219)	0.875	1 (0.702, 1.425)	1.000
Exposure to medical discourse	ns		***	
Not exposed	1.114 (0.866, 1.189)	0.237	1.364 (0.873, 2.133)	0.173
Weakly	1	1	1	1
Strongly	0.895 (0.945, 1.32)	0.193	0.736 (0.595, 0.91)	0.005
Exposure to the media	ns		×	
Not exposed	0.999 (0.784, 1.256)	0.993	1.21 (0.859, 1.704)	0.276
Moderately	1.108 (0.891, 1.379)	0.357	1.393 (1.028, 1.889)	0.033

Variables	Crude OR (95% CI)	P> z	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P> z
More exposed	1	1	1	1
Child's sex	**		***	
Male	1	1	1	1
Female	1.179 (1.004, 1.383)	0.044	1.482 (1.22, 1.798)	0.000
Child's health	**		***	
Sick	1	1	1	1
Not ill	1.179 (1.006, 1.416)	0.042	1.801 (1.452, 2.234)	0.000
Desirability of the child	ns		ns	
Desired	0.934 (0.79, 1.104)	0.422	0.994 (0.808, 1.223)	0.957
Not desired	1	1	1	1

Table 4 (continued)

Explanatory note: *** = Significant at the 1% level; **= Significant at the 5% level; *= Significant at the 10% level; ns = Not significant

of education, age at delivery, and degree of exposure to medical discourse on breastfeeding and the media. Therefore, region of residence primarily affects the duration of exclusive breastfeeding indirectly through the other variables. In other words, some of the differences in risk of EBF discontinuation associated with the mother's region of residence are explained by differences in standard of living, education, and exposure to medical discourse on breastfeeding. In addition, the city of Abidjan became significant with the inclusion of standard of living in the M5 model and decreased from 5 to 1% from the M11 model onwards with the introduction of mothers' media exposure, then remained stable until the final model. The influence of the city of Abidjan is mediated by household standard of living and women's media exposure.

Health status of the child

The risk of being deprived of exclusive breastfeeding among children who were not sick in the two weeks prior to the survey was greater than among children who had been sick (adjusted OR 1.8; 95% CI 1.452, 2.234). Among children who showed signs of cough, diarrhea, or fever, the duration of EBF tended to be longer than if they were healthy. In both the crude and final models, the health status of the child had a significant influence on the duration of the exclusive breastfeeding of children before their 6th month.

Household standard of living

The duration of exclusive breastfeeding is significantly influenced by the household's level of economic wellbeing. According to the Table 4, children from households classified in the very low and low standard of living quintile more likely (adjusted OR 1.59; 95% CI 0.95, 2.65 and adjusted OR 2.15; 95% CI 1.33, 3.5, respectively) to be deprived of EBF than children from households classified in the very high standard of living quintile. Children from households classified in the middle and high standard of living quintile are 1.85 (95% CI 1.19, 2.87) and 2.53 times (95% CI 1.69, 3.8) more likely to be deprived of exclusive breastfeeding before six months, respectively.

While the interaction between EBF and this variable was insignificant in the crude model, its significance appeared in the model (M5). Thus, its influence on exclusive breastfeeding cessation is indirect and reinforced by other variables. Moreover, the effect of the "Very weak" modality fades with the introduction of the "Exposure to medical discourse" variables. This could be because mothers in households with very low economic power do not have access to health services to the same degree as mothers living in households with very high economic power. This could result in some inequality in access to breastfeeding information.

Sex of the child

The sex of the child significantly influences the duration of the exclusive breastfeeding. Indeed, the risk of being deprived of EBF before six months is higher for girls (adjusted OR 1.48; 95% CI 1.22, 1.80) than for boys. Thus, girls are less likely to be exclusively breastfed than boys.

Exposure to medical speech

Children of mothers with high exposure to medical discourse were less likely (adjusted OR 0.74; 95% CI 0.60, 0.91) to be denied exclusive breastfeeding before six months than those whose mothers had low exposure. Otherwise, children of mothers who had at least four antenatal cares and were attended by skilled health personnel at delivery were more likely to receive EBF than other children. The adjusted OR associated with unexposed (adjusted OR 1.36; 95% CI 0.87, 2.13) and highly exposed (adjusted OR 0.74; 95% CI 0.59, 0.91) mothers show that increasing the degree of exposure to medical speech increases the odds of exclusive breastfeeding.

Mother's level of education

The mother's education level is relevant to explain the duration of exclusive breastfeeding. Children of uneducated mothers and those of mothers with primary education are about 0.73 (95% CI 0.52, 1.02) and 0.64 (95% CI 0.45, 0.91) times less likely to be deprived of exclusive breastfeeding, respectively. Women with no education and those with primary education practice exclusive breastfeeding longer than other women.

In models M7 to M12, the mother's education level had no influence on the dependent variable. The effect of this variable on the continuation or cessation of exclusive breastfeeding became significant with the introduction of the child's health status in model M13. The influence of this variable is therefore boosted by the child's health status.

Discussion

The present study analyzed explanatory factors of exclusive breastfeeding among children aged 0 to 5 months, based on the 2016 MICS-5 database from Cote d'Ivoire.

Our results showed that duration of EBF varies significantly associated with region of residence. Influence of this variable is indirect and is mediated by several factors that affect behavior of breastfeeding women. In the literature, the "region of residence" variable is increasingly being abandoned in favor of variables such as "rural" and "urban", district or neighborhood, which represent smaller living areas and could therefore have an immediate impact on behavior. However, our results are in agreement with those of the Nigerian studies [15] and Bangladesh [16] which showed significant disparities in the practice of exclusive breastfeeding between regions.

We found that in children with signs of coughing, diarrhea or fever, breastfeeding tends to be prolonged. This association may reflect a reverse causality that was observed by Marquis et al. [17]. Their research found that poor child growth and health led to increased breastfeeding.

This result is contrary to that of Vilain [18], which showed that the probability of continuing breastfeeding beyond 10 weeks was lower in sick children. Nevertheless, this result could be explained by the fact that mothers are more attentive to certain recommendations that encourage them to continue exclusive breastfeeding despite the disease, while also providing oral rehydration solutions or local preparations if necessary [8].

In contrast with our results, studies have shown a link between the decline of exclusive breastfeeding and improvements in household living standards [19, 20]. However, our results are consistent with a Nigerian study that found that women from poorer households were more likely to interrupt exclusive breastfeeding than their counterparts from wealthier households [15, 21]. This could be explained by the fact that women from wealthy backgrounds usually turn to qualified health professionals or have easier access to quality information advocating EBF through media. For working-class women, they turn first to their close relatives (mother, mother-in-law, etc.) or to their neighbors. However, specialist interlocutors are more favorable and unanimous in their support of exclusive breastfeeding than reference persons (parents and neighbors), who rely on their more or less successful experience [22].

In contrast to other studies in Kenya [23], in Nigeria [15] and in Vietnam [19] that found that male newborns were introduced to complementary foods early compared to girls, our study shows that male infants are more likely to be exclusively breastfed than girls. This finding is consistent with others studies in Egypt and in Timor-Leste [24, 25]. Our result could be explained by a culturally related male gender preference for breastfeeding, feeding, and health care. However, the gender difference in breastfeeding practices warrants further investigation to understand the sociocultural factors that leading to this difference.

antenatal cares play an important role in mothers' decision-making about EBFs because pregnant women receive counseling from healthcare staff there [26]. Previous studies have shown that the rate and duration of breastfeeding was better in women who performed four or more antenatal cares [19, 24, 27–29]. Indeed, the assistance of health professionals during pregnancy would encourage changes in attitudes and practices towards exclusive breastfeeding from birth [30].

In this study, women with primary education practiced exclusive breastfeeding longer than women with secondary education or higher. This result is consistent with those of Asfaw et al. in Debre Berhan District, Ethiopia [31], of Asare [32] and Hossain et al. [16] in Bangladesh. This could be because educated mothers have better employment opportunities than their uneducated counterparts. Therefore, they find it difficult to maintain the practice of EBF for up to six months due to lack of time and other factors related to the job they are doing [16, 31]. However, for some authors, mother's education level would have a positive influence on the timely initiation of exclusive breastfeeding, its duration and the avoidance of prelacteal feeding [33, 34]. Also, some relatively recent studies [28–30, 35] are favorable to the idea that educated mothers are more likely to have better access to and use of information than mothers with low levels of education.

In this study, variables such as residence, religion, maternal age, spousal cohabitation, parity, and child desirability had no statistically significant relationship with the practice of exclusive breastfeeding. Compared with other studies, rural [31], have more than two children [28] and be over 25 years old [31, 36] were positive factors in the practice of exclusive breastfeeding.

Limitations of the study

The main limitations of our study are that we used secondary data and information on breastfeeding was collected based on the 24-hour recall, as this approach does not capture the age at which the child stopped being exclusively breastfed. The absence of this variable forced us to consider only the survey date as the end date of observation. As a result, we could not adequately capture all cases of right truncation in the estimation of actuarial survival curves (at the bivariate descriptive analysis level). In addition, the variables relating to the spouse or partner, the socialization environment, the influence of contradictory information on breastfeeding, the choice of the mode of feeding of the child during pregnancy, the early initiation of breastfeeding and the occupation of the mother are not considered in the present study because of certain technical difficulties for some of them and unavailability for others.

Conclusions

The prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding in Cote d'Ivoire was one of the lowest in West Africa in 2021, with large regional disparities and a strong influence of socioeconomic and cultural conditions. To improve the level of exclusive breastfeeding practice, the government and its partners should facilitate access to prenatal health services and deliveries in health centers for all social strata of women under the assistance of qualified persons. They should also build the capacity of health professionals to counsel and assist mothers in adhering to the practice of exclusive breastfeeding and then to support them in continuing the practice until the recommended time, regardless of the health status and sex of the child.

Authors' contributions

KI developed the study protocol, analyzed the data, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. EEML corrected the different drafts of the manuscript. She also did the literature search to improve the discussion of the results and the bibliographic references. BI translated the manuscript into English. Other authors (AKRH, KLL & AK) read, corrected, and approved the final manuscript. So, all the authors mentioned in this article did contribute to the production of the work we are submitting, and the contents of the manuscript have never been published.

Page 13 of 14

Funding

No funding received.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available on the MEASURE DHS / ICF International website: [18]

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval for the survey was given by the Ivorian National Research and Ethics Committee, the Institutional Review Board of ICF International, and the Center for Disease Control. Detailed information on the study area, study population, survey organization, survey design, questionnaires, data collection, data quality, data processing, and ethics is published in the 2012 Cote d'Ivoire Demographic and Health Survey report. Permission to download and use the survey data was provided to the lead author by MEASURE DHS / ICF International.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Directorate of Strategy and Studies, Ministry of Planning and Development, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire. ²Center for Population and Health Policy and Systems Research, National Institute of Public Health, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire, ³Cellule de Recherche en Santé de la Reproduction de Cote d'Ivoire, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire. ⁴Nutrition Department National Institute of Public Health, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire, 5Department of Public Health and Biostatistics, UFR of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Félix Houphouët Boigny University, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire.

Received: 21 October 2022 Accepted: 10 July 2023 Published online: 15 August 2023

References

- Abdoulaye M. Migration, poverty, and children's health in an urban context: A longitudinal and multi-level perspective in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. PhD Thesis. Catholic University of Louvain. Faculty of Economic, Social, Political and Communication Sciences; 2015-2016. 237 p. Available from: https://dial.uclouvain.be/pr/boreal/object/boreal%3A176365/datas tream/PDF_01/view.
- Shumetie G, Gedefaw M, Kebede A, Derso T. Exclusive breastfeeding, and rotavirus vaccination are associated with decreased diarrheal morbidity among under-five children in Bahir Dar, northwest Ethiopia. Public Health Rev. 2018;39:28.
- WHO. Infant and young child feeding. 2018. Available from: https://www. who.int/fr/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/infant-and-young-child-feeding.
- Sokol E, Clark D, Aguayo VM. Protecting Breastfeeding in West and Central Africa: Over 25 years of Implementation of the International Code of Marketing of Breastmilk Substitutes. Food Nutr Bull. 29(3):159–62. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1177/156482650802900301.
- Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJ, França GV, Horton S, Krasevec J, et al. Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. Lancet. 2016;387(10017):475–90.
- Hanson K, Nathan R, Marchant T, Mponda H, Jones C, Bruce J et al. Vouchers for scaling up insecticide-treated nets in Tanzania: Methods for monitoring and evaluation of a national health system intervention. BMC Public Health. 2008;8:205. Available from: http://bmcpublichealth.biome dcentral.com/articles/https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-8-205.
- Turck D, Vidailhet M, Bocquet A, Bresson JL, Briend A, Chouraqui JP et al. Allaitement maternel: les bénéfices pour la santé de l'enfant et de sa mère. Arch Pédiatrie. 2013;20:529–48. Available from: https://www.scien cedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0929693X13722516.

- Latham MC, Ash D, Ndossi G, Mehansho H, Tatala S. Micronutrient dietary supplements-A new fourth approach. Arch Latinoam Nutr. 2001;51:37–41.
- Republic of Côte d'Ivoire. Demographic and Health Survey Report, 2021. Key indicators report. National Institute of Statistics; 2022. p. 51. Available from: https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/PR140/PR140.pdf.
- Republic of Côte d'Ivoire. National Strategic Plan for Maternal, Newborn and Child Health 2016 - 2020. Minister of Health and Public Hygiene. National Mother-Child Health Program. Available from: https://platform. who.int/docs/default-source/mca-documents/policy-documents/planstrategy/CIV-CC-10-01-PLANSTRATEGY-2016-fra-NSP-Maternal-Child-Newborn-Health-2016-2020.pdf.
- Coulibaly A, Tano OA, Vroh JBB, Traoré Y. Socio-professional factors and practice of exclusive breastfeeding by first mothers in Abidjan (Cote d'Ivoire). Santé Publique. 2014;26(4):555–62.
- Kouassi KF. Anthropological Approach to Exclusive Breastfeeding in Cote d'Ivoire: mothers' knowledge, Attitudes and Practices. Rev Afr D'Anthropologie Nyansa-Po. 2014;16:43–56.
- World Health Organization. Indicators for assessing infant and young child feeding practices: conclusion of a consensus meeting held 6–8 November 2007 in Washington D.C., USA., 2008 Available from: https:// apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/43895/9789241596664_eng. pdf?.
- 14. Bocquier P. Analysis of biographical surveys using STATA software. EHESS-INED-INSEE-ORSTOM-Université Paris VI. "Documents et Manuels du CEPED N° 4", Paris. 1996. Available from: https://media.electre-ng.com/ extraits/extraitid/c1bf8c853cdc2834554262105ca33cd81db162792cf63 ced658c8aa255dcce40.pdf.
- Agho KE, Dibley MJ, Odiase JI, Ogbonmwan SM. Determinants of exclusive breastfeeding in Nigeria. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2011;11:2.
- Hossain M, Islam A, Kamarul T, Hossain G. Exclusive breastfeeding practice during first six months of an infant's life in Bangladesh: a country based cross-sectional study. BMC Pediatr. 2018;18(1):93.
- Marquis G, Habicht J, Lanata C, Black R, Rasmussen K. Association of breastfeeding and stunting in Peruvian toddlers: an example of reverse causality. Int J Epidemiol. 1997;26(2):349–56. Available from: https://acade mic.oup.com/ije/article-lookup/doi/https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/26.2.349.
- Vilain A. Two out of three newborns are breastfed at birth. Numéro 0958; Études et Résultats (Direction de la Recherche, des Études, de l'Évaluation et des Statistiques). Number 0958; Studies and Results (Research, Studies, Evaluation and Statistics Department): Paris, France. 2016. Google Scholar.
- Thu HN, Eriksson B, Khanh TT, Petzold M, Bondjers G, Kim CNT et al. Breastfeeding practices in urban and rural Vietnam. BMC Public Health. 2012;12(1):964. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1471-2458-12-964.
- Horwood C, Haskins L, Engebretsen IM, Phakathi S, Connolly C, Coutsoudis A, et al. Improved rates of exclusive breastfeeding at 14 weeks of age in KwaZulu Natal, South Africa: what are the challenges now? BMC Public Health. 2018;18:757.
- Amir LH, Donath SM. Socioeconomic status and rates of breastfeeding in Australia: evidence from three recent national health surveys. Med J Aust. 2008;189(5):254–6.
- 22. Gojard S. A sociological approach to breastfeeding in France. Santé Homme. 2010;408:17–8.
- Kimani-Murage EW, Madise NJ, Fotso JC, Kyobutungi C, Mutua MK, Gitau TM et al. Patterns and determinants of breastfeeding and complementary feeding practices in urban informal settlements, Nairobi Kenya. BMC Public Health. 2011;11:396. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1471-2458-11-396.
- Ghwass MMA, Ahmed D. Prevalence and predictors of 6-month exclusive breastfeeding in a rural area in Egypt. Breastfeed Med. 2011;6(4):191–6.
- Senarath U, Dibley MJ, Agho KE. Breastfeeding practices and associated factors among children under 24 months of age in Timor-Leste. Eur J Clin Nutr mars. 2007;61(3):387–97.
- Republic of Côte d'Ivoire. Annual report on the health situation 2020. Ministry of Health, Public Hygiene and Universal Health Coverage. Department of Computing and Health Information; 2021. Available from: https://www.snisdiis.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/Rapport-Annuel-sur-la-Situation-Sanitaire-RASS-2020-VF.pdf.

- Simard-Émond L, Sansregret A, Dubé J, Mayrand MH. Obstetricians/ gynaecologists and breastfeeding: practice, attitudes, training and knowledge. J Obstet Gynaecol Can. 2011;33(2):145–52.
- Duarte Lopes E, Monteiro AMRL, Varela DOBFC, Trigueiros DELR, Monteiro Spencer Maia I, de Xavier Soares J. The prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding and its associated factors in Cape Verde. BMC Nutr. 2022;8:74.
- Traoré M, Sangho H, Camara Diagne M, Faye A, Sidibé A, Koné K, et al. Facteurs associés à l'allaitement maternel exclusif chez les mères d'enfants de 24 mois à Bamako. Santé Publique. 2014;26(2):259–65.
- Ben Slama F, Ayari I, Ouzini F, Belhadj O, Achour N. Exclusive breastfeeding, and mixed feeding: knowledge, attitudes and practices of primiparous mothers. East Mediterr Health J. 2010;6(6):630–35.
- Asfaw MM, Argaw MD, Kefene ZK. Factors associated with exclusive breastfeeding practices in Debre Berhan District, Central Ethiopia: a cross sectional community-based study. Int Breastfeed J. 2015;10:23.
- Asare BYA, Preko JV, Baafi D, Dwumfour-Asare B. Breastfeeding practices and determinants of exclusive breastfeeding in a cross-sectional study at a child welfare clinic in Tema Manhean, Ghana. Int Breastfeed J. 2018;13:12. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13006-018-0156-y.
- Guigui G. Mothers' knowledge and ignorance of breastfeeding. Médecine & Hygiène « Devenir ». 2007;19(3):261 – 97. Available from: https://www. cairn.info/revue-devenir-2007-3-page-261.htm.
- Dussault G, Dubois CA. Human resources for health policies: a critical component in health policies. Hum Resour for Health. 2003;1:1. Available from: http://human-resources-health.biomedcentral.com/articles/https:// doi.org/10.1186/1478-4491-1-1.
- Ogunlesi TA. Maternal socio-demographic factors influencing the initiation and exclusivity of breastfeeding in a nigerian semi-urban setting. Matern Child Health J. 2010;14(3):459–65.
- Asemahagn MA. Determinants of exclusive breastfeeding practices among mothers in azezo district, northwest Ethiopia. Int Breastfeed J. 2016;11:22.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

